

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Volume 6 - Issue 2

The Expression of Glycine Receptor α 3 Subunit is Differentially Regulated in Different Types of Pain

Trinidad A Mariqueo*

Laboratory of Neuropharmacology, Center for Medical Research (CIM), School of Medicine, Universidad de Talca, Talca, Chile

*Corresponding author: Trinidad A Mariqueo, Laboratory of Neuropharmacology, Center for Medical Research (CIM), School of Medicine, Universidad de Talca, Talca, Chile, Tel: 56-948875520; E-mail: tmariqueo@utalca.cl

Received: 29 Jan, 2020 | Accepted: 14 Feb, 2020 | Published: 21 Feb, 2020

Citation: Mariqueo TA (2020) The Expression of Glycine Receptor α 3 Subunit is Differentially Regulated in Different Types of Pain. J Neurol Neurobiol 6(2): dx.doi.org/10.16966/2379-7150.161

Copyright: © 2020 Mariqueo TA. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

Introduction: Increasing evidence suggests that changes in the balance of excitatory/inhibitory neurotransmission are involved in the development of the majority of chronic pain forms. In this context, impairment in glycine mediated inhibitory neurotransmission is thought to play a critical role in the disinhibition that accounts for the development and maintenance of central pain hypersensitivity.

Aims: The goal of this study was to evaluate the glycine receptor α 3 subunit (α 3GlyR) expression in neuropathic (Chronic Constriction Injury, CCI) and inflammatory (Zymosan A injected) animal models of chronic pain.

Results and Conclusion: RT-qPCR analysis of spinal cord samples showed that glra3 gene expression does not change after 3 days of CCI and 4 hours of Zymosan. A injection. However, we found that protein levels evaluated by Western blot increased after inflammatory pain. These data suggest that central sensitization is differentially regulated depending on the type of pain.

Keywords: Central sensitization; Neuropathic; Inflammatory; Hypersensitivity; Glycine receptors

Introduction

Chronic pain constitutes a major health problem, affecting millions of people worldwide. In the United States alone there are at least 116 million of adults affected by some form of chronic pain, representing an important medical and economic burden for the families of the individual affected [1]. Increasing evidence suggests that changes in the excitability of peripheral and central nociceptive pathways account for the development of the majority of forms of chronic pain [2]. In this context, impairment in GABA and Glycine mediated inhibitory neurotransmission are thought to play a critical role in the disinhibition that accounts for the development and maintenance of pain hypersensitivity [3]. Glycine is the main inhibitory neurotransmitter in the spinal cord and brain stem, playing a significant role in motor respiratory control and pain sensitization [4]. Glycine receptor (GlyR) subunits are encoded by glra and glrb genes [5]. In the mature spinal cord, glycine activates GlyRs, which are pentamers composed mainly of $\alpha 1$, $\alpha 2$, $\alpha 3$ and β subunits [6]. a3GlyRs are expressed in the dorsal horn spinal cord where the pain signaling is integrated [7]. It was reported that a3GlyRs under lies inflammatory pain sensitization by mechanisms that involve the Prostaglandin E2 signaling and the reduction of α3GlyRs activity [8,9].

Chronic pain comprises several different types of pain, including inflammatory pain following tissue injury (e.g. arthritis), cancer pain

and neuropathic pain following nerve injury, spinal cord injury and brain injury (e.g. stroke and trauma) [10-12]. The pathogenesis of neuropathic and inflammatory pain involves the excitatory/inhibitory neurotransmission balance in a process related with the neuroimmune interface alteration [13-15]. Importantly, reduced inhibitory activity in the dorsal spinal cord has been reported in both persistent neuropathic [16-18] and inflammatory pain [19-21]. However, the information related with GlyR subunit gene expression in different types of pain it has not been precisely characterized.

Therefore, we evaluated the expression of α 3GlyR subunit in neuropathic (Chronic Constriction Injury, CCI) and inflammatory (Zymosan A injected) animal models of pain sensitization.

Materials and Methods

Choice of animal model

The chronic constriction sciatic nerve Injury (CCI) and inflammatory hyperalgesia induced by subcutaneous Zymosan. A injection on the left hindpaw in Sprague Dawley rats are well known and extensively validated models of neuropathic and inflammatory pain [20,8]. Rats were maintained at the animal facility of the Universidad de Chile for about 4 weeks. Accordingly we used preferentially adult male animals because it was reported there exist sex differences in the modulation and expression of different types of channels involved in nociceptive processing [22-24]. All procedures conformed to the regulations specified by the Institutional Animal Use Committee of the University of Chile (n°17027-MED-UCH) and conducted according with the ethical protocols established by the National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, USA.

Quantitative PCR

qPCR from spinal tissues of CCI rats were performed at 3 days postsurgery and were compared with data obtained from Sham (rat surgery without ligation). Quantitative PCR of spinal cord tissues derived from Zymosan-A-injected (0.06 mg in 20 µL PBS) and control rats (saline) were extracted 4 hours after hind paw Zymosan A injection. Total RNA was isolated from the spinal cord (L3-L5 lumbar segment) by the Guanidinium thiocyanate-phenol chloroform extraction method (Trizol, Life Technologies, USA). Following total RNA preparation from these tissues and cDNA synthesis, qPCR of a3GlyR subunit was performed using 0,5 ng/uL of cDNA and SYBR green Master mix (Sigma) in a final reaction volume of 20 uL in Stratagene Mx3000P equipment (Agilent Technologies, USA). PCR amplification cycles were: 1 at 95°C/5min, 40 at 95°C/30s, 56°C/30s and 72°C/45s. All reactions were run in triplicate. The relative expression of each gene was calculated using the Pfaffle Method [25] and normalized against GAPDH expression (Table 1).

Western blot

Total proteins were quantified using the Micro BCA protein assay (Pierce Biotechnology, Rockford, IL). SDSPAGE was performed using 60 μ g of protein on NuPAGE gels (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). Proteins were transferred to a PVDF membrane (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) and then blocked overnight by incubation with 5% dry milk in Tris-buffered saline containing 0.1% Tween 20 (TBS). After washing with TBS, the blots were incubated with anti a3GlyR subunit (1:300, rabbit; Millipore) primary antibodies. Monoclonal anti-GAPDH antibody was used as load control.

Data analysis

All data are presented as mean \pm standard error of mean (SEM). Statistical analyses were performed using graph pad prism 6 software. One-way ANOVA analysis was performed. P-value<0.05 was considered as significant.

Results and Discussion

To understand the contribution of α 3GlyRs to the central sensitization process, α 3GlyR subunit expression levels were analyzed in spinal cord tissues from neuropathic and inflammatory animal models of pain. In figure A, it can be seen that the glr3 gene expression levels did not change after 3 days of CCI. Similar results were obtained after 4 hours of Zymosan A injection. We evaluated the glr3 gene expression at this time because it was reported that after 3 days of CCI and 4 hours of Zymosan A there are significant changes in nociceptive threshold [20]. Both have been used before as animal models to study chronic and acute neuropathic-inflammatory pain [26,27].

However, data show that α 3GlyRs protein expression increased after inflammatory pain and no changes were observed after 3 days of CCI (Figure B). These results suggest that the central pain sensitization process is differentially regulated by glr3 gene expression. Previous studies have described that inhibitory glycinergic neurotransmission is altered in chronic pain conditions. In order to understand the contribution of α 3GlyRs to central sensitization, Harvey RJ, et al. (2004) generated a Glra3-/- Knockout mice. Behavioral approaches demonstrated that pain sensitization induced by intrathecal PGE2



Figure 1: Differences in α 3GlyR gene and protein expression in neuropathic and inflammatory pain. In (A) glr3 gene expression and in (B) is shown the protein expression.

Table 1: Primer sequences used in qPCR experiments.

Primers	5'- 3' Sequence	Amplicon (Base pairs size)
GAPDH-R	GGCCTCTCTCTTGCTCTCAGTA	141
GAPDH-F	TTGTGAAGCTCATTTCCTGGTA	
Alpha3-R	GCCTTCTGATTGTCATTCTGTC	109
Alpha3-F	CTCTGCGTGGTCATCGTAAG	

and peripheral inflammation was reduced in Glra3-/- Knockout mice [20]. In agreement with these results, Reinold H, et al. (2005) showed that mice deficient in EP2 receptors completely lack spinal PGE2 mediated hyperalgesia [21]. Electrophysiological experiments showed that a3GlyR mediated currents were inhibited by PGE2. These effects were abolished by intracellular loop mutation at serine 346 and PKA inhibition, demonstrating that phosphorylation of a3GlyRs consistently determines the inflammatory pain sensitization [26]. In agreement with these reports, our data show that the a3GlyRs protein increased only in the inflammatory context, suggesting the existence of fine tune mechanisms that regulate the protein expression in different types of pain conditions. In fact, Harvey VL, et al. in 2009, showed no differences in pain behavior of Glra3-/- Knockout mice in comparison with the control mice after neuropathic pain surgery [27]. Furthermore, other subunits of GlyRs have been related with the establishment of neuropathic pain. Imlach WL, et al. showed that a2GlyR subunit expression was increased in the dorsal horn of spinal cord after neuropathic pain [29]. We have evidence that regulatory beta auxiliary subunit of glycine receptors play important role in neuropathic pain (under publication). On the other hand, previous studies have



demonstrated that the activation of GlyRs triggers the chloride ion influx, which results in the membrane hyper polarization needed to control the excitability of neural circuits. Chloride homeostasis is regulated by co-transporter KCC2 [29]. One of the critical steps in the central pain sensitization that accounts for disinhibition is related with the KCC2 down regulation [30]. It has been reported that KCC2 expression is altered in the central pain sensitization process, leading to the collapse of chloride ionic gradient and shifting the glycine receptor reversal potential to more depolarized values [31]. In terms of the molecular mechanism involved in this process, Coull JA, et al. (2005) showed that ATP-stimulated microglia produce a depolarizing shift in anion reversal potential in spinal neurons [17]. The authors conclude that molecular mechanisms involved in these changes are associated with the activation of TrkB receptors by BDNF because blocking these signaling with anti-TrkB antibody or siRNA anti BDNF abolished the changes in the anion reversal potential. On the other hand, Zhou HY, et al. (2012) demonstrated that a shift in glycine current reversal potential is mediated by KCC2 calpain cleavage [32]. A recent study showed that morphine tolerance is induced by the down regulation of KCC2, impairing the chloride homeostasis in rat spinal neurons [33]. How the expression of different GlyRs can be influenced by KCC2 changes is a question not addressed yet. Future studies are necessary to propose new therapeutics alternatives for the management of chronic pain.

Conclusion

Our results showed that a3GlyRs protein increased in inflammatory pain conditions, suggesting that central pain sensitization fine-tunes gene expression regulation in different types of pain contexts.

Acknowledgements

I thank professional assistance of Nicole Cespedes for collecting part of qPCR data, Gabriela Améstica and Nicolas Sepulveda for collecting Western blot data.

Funding Sources that Supported the Work

This work has been supported byPIEI QUIMBIO University of Talca (Mariqueo TA) and FONDECYT grant No. 3170690 (Mariqueo TA).

Any Conflicts of Interest Disclosures

Author declares no conflicts of interest

References

- 1. Gaskin DJ, Richard P (2012) The economic costs of pain in the United States. J Pain 13: 715-724.
- 2. Basbaum AI, Bautista DM, Scherrer G, Julius D (2009) Cellular and molecular mechanisms of pain. Cell 139: 267-284.
- 3. Zeilhofer HU (2005) The glycinergic control of spinal pain processing. Cell Mol Life Sci 62: 2027-2035.
- Legendre P (2001) The glycinergic inhibitory synapse. Cell Mol Life Sci 58: 760-793.
- Kingsmore SF, Giros B, Suh D, Bieniarz M, Caron MG, et al. (1994) Glycine receptor beta-subunit gene mutation in spastic mouse associated with LINE-1 element insertion. Nat Genet 7: 136-141.
- Aguayo LG, van Zundert B, Tapia JC, Carrasco MA, Alvarez FJ (2004) Changes on the properties of glycine receptors during neuronal development. Brain Res Brain Res Rev 47: 33-45.

- Zeilhofer HU, Ganley R (2019) The Oxford Handbook of the Neurobiology of Pain. In: Wood JN (eds), Oxford University Press, United Kingdom.
- 8. Hösl K, Reinold H, Harvey RJ, Müller U, Narumiya S, et al. (2006) Spinal prostaglandin E receptors of the EP2 subtype and the glycine receptor alpha3 subunit, which mediate central inflammatory hyperalgesia, do not contribute to pain after peripheral nerve injury or formalin injection. Pain 126: 46-53.
- 9. Beyer C, Roberts LA, Komisaruk BR (1985) Hyperalgesia induced by altered glycinergic activity at the spinal cord. Life Sci 37: 875-882.
- 10. Woolf CJ, Thompson SW (1991) The induction and maintenance of central sensitization is dependent on N-methyl-D-aspartic acid receptor activation; implications for the treatment of post-injury pain hypersensitivity states. Pain 44: 293-299.
- 11. Ji RR, Xu ZZ, Gao YJ (2014) Emerging targets in neuroinflammationdriven chronic pain. Nat Rev Drug Discov 13: 533-548.
- 12. Grace PM, Hutchinson MR, Maier SF, Watkins LR (2014) Pathological pain and the neuroimmune interface. Nat Rev Immunol 14: 217-231.
- Abbadie C, Lindia JA, Cumiskey AM, Peterson LB, Mudgett JS, et al. (2003) Impaired neuropathic pain responses in mice lacking the chemokine receptor CCR2. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100: 7947-7952.
- Omair A, Holden M, Lie BA, Reikeras O, Brox JI (2013) Treatment outcome of chronic low back pain and radiographic lumbar disc degeneration are associated with inflammatory and matrix degrading gene variants: a prospective genetic association study. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 14: 105.
- 15. Ellis A, Bennett DL (2013) Neuroinflammation and the generation of neuropathic pain. Br J Anaesth 111: 26-37.
- Moore KA, Kohno T, Karchewski LA, Scholz J, Baba H, et al. (2002) Partial peripheral nerve injury promotes a selective loss of GABAergic inhibition in the superficial dorsal horn of the spinal cord. J Neurosci 22: 6724-6731.
- Coull JA, Boudreau D, Bachand K, Prescott SA, Nault F, et al. (2003) Trans-synaptic shift in anion gradient in spinal lamina I neurons as a mechanism of neuropathic pain. Nature 424: 938-942.
- Foster E, Wildner H, Tudeau L, Haueter S, Ralvenius WT, et al. (2015) Targeted ablation, silencing, and activation establish glycinergic dorsal horn neurons as key components of a spinal gate for pain and itch. Neuron 85: 1289-1304.
- Danziger N, Weil-Fugazza J, Le Bars D, Bouhassira D (2001) Stagedependent changes in the modulation of spinal nociceptive neuronal activity during the course of inflammation. Eur J Neurosci 13: 230-240.
- Harvey RJ, Depner UB, Wässle H, Ahmadi S, Heindl C, et al. (2004) GlyR alpha3: an essential target for spinal PGE2-mediated inflammatory pain sensitization. Science 304: 884-887.
- Reinold H, Ahmadi S, Depner UB, Layh B, Heindl C, et al. (2005) Spinal inflammatory hyperalgesia is mediated by prostaglandin E receptors of the EP2 subtype. J Clin Invest 115: 673-679.
- Payrits M, Sághy É, Cseko K, Pohóczky K, Bölcskei K, et al. (2017) Estradiol Sensitizes the Transient Receptor Potential Vanilloid 1 Receptor in Pain Responses. Endocrinology 158: 3249-3258.
- McRoberts JA, Li J, Ennes HS, Mayer EA (2007) Sex-dependent differences in the activity and modulation of N-methyl-d-aspartic acid receptors in rat dorsal root ganglia neurons. Neuroscience 148: 1015-1020.

- 24. Mariqueo TA, Améstica G, Pino J, Barra R, Stehberg J, et al. (2019) Sex differences in central inflammatory pain sensitization are associated with differential expression of glycine receptors and GLP-1 at the spinal cord. bioRxiv.
- 25. Pfaffl MW (2010) The ongoing evolution of qPCR. Methods 50: 215-216.
- Acuña MA, Yévenes GE, Ralvenius WT, Benke D, Di Lio A, et al. (2016) Phosphorylation state-dependent modulation of spinal glycine receptors alleviates inflammatory pain. J Clin Invest 126: 2547-2560.
- Harvey VL, Caley A, Müller UC, Harvey RJ, Dickenson AH (2009) A Selective Role for alpha3 Subunit Glycine Receptors in Inflammatory Pain. Front Mol Neurosci 2: 14.
- Imlach WL, Bhola RF, Mohammadi SA, Christie MJ (2016) Glycinergic dysfunction in a subpopulation of dorsal horn interneurons in a rat model of neuropathic pain. Sci Rep 6: 37104.
- Rivera C, Voipio J, Payne JA, Ruusuvuori E, Lahtinen H, et al. (1999) The K+/Cl- co-transporter KCC2 renders GABA hyperpolarizing during neuronal maturation. Nature 397: 251-255.

- Mapplebeck JCS, Lorenzo LE, Lee KY, Gauthier C, Muley MM, et al. (2019) Chloride Dysregulation through Downregulation of KCC2 Mediates Neuropathic Pain in Both Sexes. Cell Rep 28: 590-596.
- Doyon N, Prescott SA, Castonguay A, Godin AG, Kröger H, et al. (2011) Efficacy of synaptic inhibition depends on multiple, dynamically interacting mechanisms implicated in chloride homeostasis. PLoS Comput Biol 7: e1002149.
- Zhou HY, Chen SR, Byun HS, Chen H, Li L, et al. (2012) N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor- and calpain-mediated proteolytic cleavage of K+-Cl- cotransporter-2 impairs spinal chloride homeostasis in neuropathic pain. J Biol Chem 287: 33853-33864.
- Ferrini F, Trang T, Mattioli TA, Laffray S, Del'Guidice T, et al. (2013) Morphine hyperalgesia gated through microglia-mediated disruption of neuronal Cl⁻ homeostasis. Nat Neurosci 16: 183-189.